



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,634	09/19/2003	William B. Arden II	ARD-101US	5073
24314	7590	08/07/2007		
JANSSON SHUPE & MUNGER LTD. 245 MAIN STREET RACINE, WI 53403			EXAMINER HOGE, GARY CHAPMAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/664,634	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ARDERN, WILLIAM B.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Gary C. Hoge	<b>Art Unit</b> 3611	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Gary C. Hoge. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) John Munger. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 26 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15, 23 and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yochim, Smead Viewables and Sato.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

/Gary C. Hoge/  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Munger suggested that the clip disclosed by Yochim was so wide it would not completely open if a handle were mounted in the middle thereof. The Examiner noted that that is speculation, and there is no way to know that. Mr. Munger suggested filing an RCE and reciting the general proportions of the clip. The Examiner replied that doing so would require further consideration as to whether it would be obvious to modify Yochim to such proportions. We considered whether the Smead Viewables reference discloses indicia on all three sides of the label and ascertained that it does. Mr. Munger further suggested reciting adhesive on all three sides of the label, but the Examiner pointed out that Smead Viewables already discloses that. Also, Mr. Munger suggested defining the indicia as "advertising" indicia. The Examiner replied that such a limitation would merely be a statement of intended use and that once indicia is present in a reference it is obvious to use any desired indicia as a matter of design choice.